As part of the Virginia Kindergarten Readiness Program’s (VKRP) multi-dimensional assessment of students’ school readiness skills, self-regulation and social skills are assessed using the Child Behavior Rating Scale (CBRS). The CBRS is a teacher-report comprised of 17 items – 10 items assessing self-regulation and 7 items assessing social skills. After carefully observing students’ behaviors in the classroom, teachers complete the rating scale for each student through the online VKRP portal, taking approximately 2 minutes to complete per student.


Overview of the Measure and Its Constructs

The Child Behavior Rating Scale (Bronson et al., 1990) is a teacher-report measure used to gather information about “a child’s task behavior and social behavior with peers and adults” (Bronson et al., 1995, p. 260). The original measure is comprised of 32 items that ask teachers to rate the frequency with which individual students exhibit specific behaviors on a scale from 1 (never) to 5 (always). Eighteen items combine to form the Mastery Behavior Scale and 14 comprise the Social Behavior Scale, which together capture students’ work-related skills and social skills, respectively (Lim et al., 2010; Son et al., 2013).

The majority of studies using the CBRS, however, have utilized shorter variations of the measure. One of the most commonly used variations was introduced by Matthews and colleagues (2009) and consists of 17-items that measure children’s behavioral regulation as illustrated by two subscales. *The Classroom Self-Regulation subscale is comprised of 10 items that assess teachers’ perceptions of children’s behavioral regulation during academic tasks (e.g., following directions, staying on task; See Appendix A for items); the Social Skills (or Interpersonal Skills) subscale is comprised of 7 items that assess teachers’ perceptions of children’s behavioral regulation in social situations (e.g., respecting others, sharing; See Appendix A for items). This factor structure has been validated in other studies (e.g., Ponitz et al., 2009; Wanless et al., 2011b), and many who use the CBRS opt to utilize the items from the Classroom Self-Regulation subscale independently or in conjunction with those from the Social Skills (Interpersonal Skills) subscale to assess children’s behavioral regulation.

The Virginia Kindergarten Readiness Program falls within this latter category and uses the 17 items from both subscales to assess teachers’ perceptions of students’ approaches to learning, self-regulation, and social-emotional adjustment. Scores are interpreted at the domain level, with the 10 items from the Classroom Self-Regulation subscale comprising the “self-regulation domain” and the 7 items from the Social Skills (Interpersonal Skills) subscale comprising the “social skills domain.”

Previous Uses of the CBRS

Function of the CBRS. The CBRS has been used as a measurement tool in studies exploring a wide range of topics, including those focusing on children’s academic or social-emotional development (e.g., Lee et al., 1998; McClelland et al., 2007), the assessment of early childhood programs or interventions (e.g., Bronson et al., 1995; Schmitt et al., 2015), and the testing of other measures’ psychometric properties (e.g., Meisels et al., 1995; Ponitz et al., 2008). In these studies, items from the CBRS have been utilized both to measure specific constructs (e.g., task behavior, work-related social skills, interpersonal skills, goal-oriented behaviors) and also to more broadly measure overall classroom behavior.

Sample characteristics. The CBRS has been used to assess adults’ perceptions of the behavior of children spanning a wide range of ages and across different cultural contexts. Of the studies reviewed, children ranged between the ages of three and ten years, with the majority of studies focusing on those in preschool or kindergarten (see Table 1 for summary). CBRS data has been collected across different settings, including day care centers, preschools, Head Start...
programs, kindergarten classrooms, and elementary schools. The CBRS has been used in the United States and has also been validated and utilized across European (i.e., Albania, England, Iceland, Germany, Norway, and Romania) and Asian countries (i.e., China, Singapore, South Korea, and Taiwan; see Table 1 for summary), among others.

Association with developmental outcomes. Studies have repeatedly identified the significant association between children’s CBRS scores and their development of a wide-range of outcomes. For example, CBRS scores have been associated with children’s overall cognitive achievement (e.g., Lee et al., 1998), math (e.g., Wanless et al., 2011a), vocabulary (e.g., Gestsdottir et al., 2014), and literacy outcomes (e.g., Ponitz et al., 2008). Studies have also identified the relationship between children’s CBRS scores and other important domains of school readiness, including attentional and inhibitory control (Kim et al., 2016; Yang & Lamb, 2014).

Psychometric Properties of the CBRS

Reliability. The CBRS has persistently demonstrated good internal consistency. Initial analysis of the original 32-item CBRS yielded a test-retest reliability of .67 and an internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha) of .96 (Layzer et al., 1990). Additional studies using all CBRS items have produced similar results with alpha’s ranging between .82 – .96 (e.g., Bronson et al., 1995; Son et al., 2013). Specifically, regarding the 17-item, two-factor structure proposed by Matthews et al. (2009) and utilized by VKRP, studies have produced Cronbach’s alphas ranging between .89 – .95 (e.g., Moldovan & Bocos-Bintintan, 2016; Tindal et al., 2015). In further support of this two-factor structure, factor analyses show that the majority of the CBRS’s variance can be captured through the Classroom Self-Regulation and Social Skills (Interpersonal Skills) factors (42% and 10%, respectively; Matthews et al., 2009). This two-factor structure has been replicated in multiple studies with high item loadings (.60 – .80) on factors both in the United States and abroad (e.g., Ponitz et al., 2009; Von Suchodoletz et al., 2013; Wanless et al., 2013). Internal consistency for the 10-item Classroom Self-Regulation subscale has consistently produced Cronbach’s alphas greater than .92 (e.g., Kim et al., 2016; Sung, 2014; Schmitt, 2014), while the Social Skills (Interpersonal Skills) subscale has shown less, but still relatively good, internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha = .76 – .85; Von Suchodoletz et al., 2015; Ponitz et al., 2009).

Validity. The CBRS has strong construct and concurrent validity. The full 32-item measure is moderately correlated with the Bronson Social and Task Skill Profile (Bronson, 1996), an observational measure used to assess children’s classroom goal-oriented and regulatory behaviors (Ponitz et al., 2009). The CBRS has also shown to have high correlations with the Observed Child Engagement Scale (Rimm-Kaufman, 2005), another child observation tool (Schmitt et al., 2014). Regarding direct assessment measures of children’s self-regulatory skills, the CBRS has consistently produced significant correlations with the Head-Toes-Knees-Shoulders task (e.g., Ponitz et al., 2008; Wanless et al., 2011ab) and the Head-to-Toes task (e.g., Birgisdottir et al., 2015; McClelland et al., 2007). It has also shown a significant relationship with children’s scores on the Preschool Inventory (PSI), a direct assessment of children’s cognitive achievement (Bronson et al., 1995; Lee et al., 1998). The CBRS has been found to predict children’s pre-academic school readiness skills, including math achievement (Gestsdottir et al., 2014; Schmitt et al., 2014) and literacy outcomes such as reading comprehension, vocabulary, and letter knowledge (Birgisdottir et al., 2015; Gestsdottir et al., 2014; Schmitt et al., 2014).

Use of the CBRS in VKRP

Of the approximately 11,300 children assessed using the CBRS during the 2016-2017 school year, data demonstrated strong internal consistency. Overall, the 17-item measure yielded a Cronbach’s alpha of .96, and scores were similar across Self-Regulation and Social Skills (Interpersonal Skills) subscales (Cronbach’s alpha of .97 and .92, respectively). Subscale scores were moderately correlated with one another (Pearson Correlation = .66, p<.001)
Appendix A.
Factor Structure of CBRS Items (Matthews et al., 2009)

Classroom Self-Regulation
Item 15: Observes rules and follows directions without reminders
Item 20: Completes learning tasks in an organized way
Item 21: Completes tasks successfully
Item 22: Attempts new and challenging tasks
Item 23: Concentrates when working, not easily distracted
Item 24: Responds to instructions and begins appropriate task
Item 25: Takes time to do his/her best work
Item 27: Finds and organizes materials
Item 28: Sees own errors on task and corrects them
Item 29: Returns to unfinished tasks after interruption

Social Skills (Interpersonal Skills)
Item 3: Willing to share
Item 5: Expresses hostility—Verbally
Item 6: Expresses hostility—Physically
Item 7: Cooperates with playmates
Item 8: Takes turns without being told to do so
Item 13: Complies with adult directives—With little or no resistance
Item 16: Does not fuss when doesn’t get teacher’s attention

Remaining Items
Item 1: Joins in play with others
Item 2: Comforts peers
Item 4: Plays with other children
Item 9: Offers suggestions for play
Item 10: Suggestions for play are accepted by other children
Item 11: Engages in pretend play
Item 12: Resolves potential social conflicts
Item 14: Initiates social interaction with adults
Item 17: Can deal with normal criticism or teasing
Item 18: Tries to solve a problem before asking for help
Item 19: Shows satisfaction when completes a project
Item 26: Feels s/he can cope well with classroom situations
Item 30: Interested in trying new activities, games, etc.
Item 31: Conveys confidence about being able to succeed
Item 32: Shows enthusiasm for activities
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Study Location</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Items/Scales Used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bronson et al. (1995)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Preschoolers ($N = 586$)*</td>
<td>All items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brock et al. (2018)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Age: 5 – 6 ($N = 87$)* Kindergarten, First Grade</td>
<td>Self-Regulation subscale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doromal et al. (2019)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Age: 5 ($N = 313$)* Kindergarten</td>
<td>Self-Regulation subscale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duncan et al.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Age: 4 ($N = 100$) Preschool</td>
<td>Self-Regulation subscale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al. (2016)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Age: 5 – 8 ($N = 278$) Kindergarten, First Grade</td>
<td>Self-Regulation subscale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al. (2019)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Age: 5 – 8 ($N = 117$)* Kindergarten</td>
<td>Self-Regulation subscale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al. (1998)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Age: 4 ($N = 677$)* Preschool</td>
<td>All items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthews et al. (2009)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Age: 5 – 6 ($N = 268$) Kindergarten</td>
<td>Self-Regulation subscale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meisels et al. (1995)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Age: 4 – 6 ($N = 86$) Kindergarten</td>
<td>All items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponitz et al. (2009)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Age: 4 – 6 ($N = 343$) Kindergarten</td>
<td>Self-Regulation subscale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tindal et al. (2015)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kindergartners ($N = 1189$)</td>
<td>Self-Regulation subscale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zelazo et al. (2018)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Age: 4 – 5 ($N = 218$)*</td>
<td>All items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Sample Size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard et al. (2019)</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>3 – 5 (N = 80)</td>
<td>Self-Regulation subscale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang &amp; Lamb (2014)</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>4 (N = 67)</td>
<td>All items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ludwig et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>4 – 6 (N = 106)</td>
<td>Select items pulled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestsdottir et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Germany, Iceland</td>
<td>5 – 6 (N = 181)</td>
<td>Self-Regulation subscale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>von Suchodoletz et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Germany, Iceland</td>
<td>5 – 6 (N = 301)</td>
<td>Self-Regulation subscale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birgisdóttir et al. (2020)</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>4 – 5 (N = 110)</td>
<td>Self-Regulation subscale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keown et al. (2020)</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>3 (N = 212)</td>
<td>Self-Regulation subscale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resaland et al. (2015)</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>10 (N = 1145)</td>
<td>Self-Regulation subscale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moldovan &amp; Bocos-Bintintan (2016)</td>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>7 – 10 (N = 41)</td>
<td>Self-Regulation subscale, Social (Interpersonal) Skills subscale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lim, Rodger &amp; Brown (2010a)</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>3 – 6 (N = 117)**</td>
<td>All items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lim, Rodger &amp; Brown (2010b)</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>3 – 6 (N = 117)**</td>
<td>All items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahn &amp; Kwon (2005)</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>5 – 6 (N = 167)</td>
<td>Mastery Behaviors subscale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Son et al. (2013)</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>3 – 6 (N = 229)</td>
<td>All items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wanless et al. (2011a)</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>3 – 4 (N = 152)</td>
<td>Self-Regulation subscales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wanless et al. (2011b)</td>
<td>China, Taiwan, South Korea, United States</td>
<td>3 – 6 (N = 814)</td>
<td>Self-Regulation subscale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wanless et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Age: 3 – 6 (N = 814)</td>
<td>Preschool</td>
<td>Self-Regulation subscale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*indicates sample of low socio-economic status

**indicates portion of sample with disability

**Number of studies using:**
- 3-year-olds: ~15
- 4-year-olds: ~27
- 5-year-olds: ~31
- 6-year-olds: ~17
- 7-year-olds: 2
- 8-year-olds: 2
- 9-year-olds: 1
- 10-year-olds: 2
References


